arXiv:1407.2141v1 [math.CA] 8 Jul 2014

Multilinear Fourier multipliers on variable Lebesgue spaces *

Jineng Ren and Wenchang Sun†
School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China
Email: renjineng@mail.nankai.edu.cn, sunwch@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we study properties of the bilinear multiplier space. We give a necessary condition for a continuous integrable function to be a bilinear multiplier on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. And we prove the localization theorem of multipliers on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. Moreover, we present a Mihlin-Hörmander type theorem for multilinear Fourier multipliers on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces and give some applications.

Keywords. bilinear multiplier space, multilinear Fourier multiplier, variable exponent space, weighted estimate.

1 Introduction

Given a non-empty open set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ the set of the variable exponent functions $p(x)$ such that

$$1 \leq p_- \leq p_+ < \infty,$$

where $p_-(\Omega) := \operatorname{essinf}\{p(x) : x \in \Omega\}$ and $p_+(\Omega) := \operatorname{esssup}\{p(x) : x \in \Omega\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}^0(\Omega)$ be the set of exponent functions $p(x)$ such that

$$0 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty.$$

Given a measurable functions f on Ω, for $1 \leq p(\cdot) \leq \infty$, we define the modular functional associated with $p(\cdot)$ by

$$\rho_{p(\cdot),\Omega}(f) = \int_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_\infty} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \|f(x)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\infty)},$$

where Ω_∞ denotes the set of points in Ω on which $p(x) = \infty$.

The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is defined to be the set of Lebesgue measurable functions f on Ω satisfying $\rho_{p(\cdot),\Omega}(f/\lambda) < \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$. The norm of f in the space is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \rho_{p(\cdot),\Omega}(f/\lambda) \leq 1\}.$$

*This work was supported partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(10990012) and the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education.

†Corresponding author.

In the case that $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^0(\Omega)$, it is defined to be the set of all functions f satisfying $|f(x)|^{p_0} \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, $q(x) = p(x)/p_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ for some $0 < p_0 < p_-$(see [29]). A quasi-norm in the space is defined by

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot),\Omega} = \||f|^{p_0}\|_{q(\cdot),\Omega}^{\frac{1}{p_0}}.$$

We refer to [9] for an introduction to variable exponent Lebesgue spaces.

In this paper, we study some properties of the space of bilinear Fourier multipliers and the Mihlin-Hörmander type theorem for multilinear Fourier multipliers on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces. Specifically, let m satisfy certain conditions. We discuss the N-linear Fourier multiplier operator T_m defined by

$\mathrm{T}_m(f_1, \cdots, f_N)(x)$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{Nn}} e^{2\pi i\langle \xi_1+\cdots+\xi_N, x\rangle} m(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N)\hat{f}_1(\xi_1), \cdots, \hat{f_N}(\xi_N)d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_N,$$

for $f_1, \cdots, f_N \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The multilinear Fourier multipliers have been studied for a long time. In [7], Coifman and Meyer proved that T_m is bounded form $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^{p_N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p_1, \cdots, p_N, p < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_N} = \frac{1}{p}$ and $m \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^{Nn} \setminus \{0\})$ satisfying

$$|\partial_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{\xi_N}^{\alpha_N} m(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N)| \le C_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_N}(|\xi_1| + \cdots + |\xi_N|)^{-(|\alpha_1|+\cdots+|\alpha_N|)}, \qquad (1.1)$$

for all $|\alpha_1| + \cdots + |\alpha_N| \le s$, where $N \ge 2$ is an integer and s is a sufficiently large integer.

Tomita[30] gave a Hörmander type theorem for multilinear multipliers. Specifically, T_m is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^{p_N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p_1, \cdots, p_N, p < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_N} = \frac{1}{p}$ and $s = \frac{Nn}{2} + 1$ in (1.1). And Grafakos and Si studied the case $p \le 1$ in [15]. The boundedness of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with multiple weights was achieved by Grafakos, and Liu and Maldonado and Yang[13].

Under the Hörmander conditions, Fujita and Tomita[12] obtained some weighted estimates of T_m for classical A_p weights. And in [6], Chen and Lu proved Hörmander type multilinear theorem on weighted Lebesgue spaces when the Fourier multipliers was only assumed with limited smoothness.

In [5], the boundedness of T_m with multiple weights satisfying condition (1.1) was given by Bui and Duong.

In [27], Li and Sun got some weighted estimates of T_m with multiple weights under the Hörmander conditions in terms of the Sobolev regularity.

Huang and Xu[19] obtained the boundedness of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund aperators on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces.

In this paper, we study the weighted estimates of T_m with nearly the same conditions as in [27], but on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces.

The theory of bilinear multipliers was first studied by Coifman and Meyer [8]. They considered the ones with smooth symbols. Then, Tao and Thiele achieved some new results for non-smooth symbols in [28].

The study of bilinear multipliers has experienced a big progress since Lacey and Thiele[24, 25] proved that $m(\xi, \nu) = sign(\xi + \alpha\nu)$ are (p_1, p_2)-multipliers for each couple (p_1, p_2) such that $1 < p_1, p_2 \le \infty$, $p_3 > 2/3$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

In [10], Fan and Sato proved the DeLeeuw type theorems for the transference of multilinear operators on Lebesgue and Hardy spaces from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{T}^n$. In [2], Blasco gave the transference theorems from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We also refer to [1, 3] for details.

We first give some definitions.

Definition 1.1 ([21]) *Let $1 \le p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot) \le \infty$, $0 < p_3(\cdot) \le \infty$, and $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Define*

$$\mathrm{B}_m(f,g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)m(\xi,\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta, x\rangle}d\xi d\eta$$

for all f and g such that $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ are bounded and compactly supported.

We call m a bilinear multiplier on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of type $(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$ if there exist some $C > 0$ such that $\|\mathrm{B}_m(f,g)\|_{p_3(\cdot)} \le C\|f\|_{p_1(\cdot)}\|g\|_{p_2(\cdot)}$ for all f and g such that $\hat{f}$, $\hat{g}$ are bounded and compactly supported, i.e. B_m extends to a bounded bilinear operator from $L^{p_1(\cdot)} \times L^{p_2(\cdot)}$ to $L^{p_3(\cdot)}$.

We write $BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$ for the space of bilinear multipliers of type $(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$. Let $\|m\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)} = \|\mathrm{B}_m\|$.

A similar function space is defined in the following.

Definition 1.2 *Given a function M on $\mathbb{R}^n$, let $m(\xi,\eta)$=$M(\xi-\eta)$. We say that*

$$M \in \tilde{BM}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot)),$$

if $\mathrm{B}_M(f,g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)M(\xi-\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta, x\rangle}d\xi d\eta$ for all f and g such that $\hat{f}$, $\hat{g}$ are bounded and compactly supported, can be extended to a bounded linear operator form $L^{p_1(\cdot)} \times L^{p_2(\cdot)}$ to $L^{p_3(\cdot)}$.

Definition 1.3 *A function $p : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^1$ is said to belong to the class $LH_0(\Omega)$, if*

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \le \frac{C}{-\ln(|x-y|)}, \quad |x-y| \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad x, y \in \Omega,$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of x or y.

We simply write LH_0 instead of $LH_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if there is no confusion. By C etc., we denote various positive constants, which may have different values even in the same line.

2 Some results on the space $BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$

Some properties of the bilinear multiplier space on variable spaces were given by Kulak[21]. Here we give some other properties.

First, we introduce the standard kernel.

Definition 2.1 *Given a function $K \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, it is called a standard kernel, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that:*

1. $|K(x)| \le \frac{C}{|x|^n}, \quad x \ne 0;$

2. $|\nabla K(x)| \le \frac{C}{|x|^{n+1}}, \quad x \neq 0;$

3. *for* $0 < r < R, \quad |\int_{\{r<|x|<R\}} K(x)dx| \le C;$

4. $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\{\varepsilon<|x|<1\}} K(x)dx$ *exists.*

Theorem 2.2 (localization) *Suppose that*

$$m \in BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot)),$$

Q is a rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on $L^{p_i(\cdot)}$, where $1 < (p_i)_- \le (p_i)_+ < \infty$, $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$m\chi_Q \in BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$$

and $\|m\chi_Q\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)} \le C\|m\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)}$, where C is independent of Q.

Let $BM(\mathbb{R}^n)(p(\cdot), p(\cdot))$ denote the space of multipliers which correspond to bounded operators from $L^{p(\cdot)}$ to $L^{p(\cdot)}$.

To prove Theorem 2.2 we need the following results in the theory of variable Lebesgue spaces.

Lemma 2.3 ([9, Theorem 5.39]) *Let T be a singular integral operator with a standard kernel K. Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $1 < p_- \le p_+ < \infty$, if $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$, then for all functions f that are bounded and have compact support, $\|Tf\|_{p(\cdot)} \le C\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}$, and T extends to a bounded operator on $L^{p(\cdot)}$.*

Theorem 2.4 *Suppose that $m_1 \in BM(\mathbb{R}^n)(s_1(\cdot), p_1(\cdot))$, $m_2 \in BM(\mathbb{R}^n)(s_2(\cdot), p_2(\cdot))$ and $m \in BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$. Then we have*

$$m_1(\xi)m(\xi,\eta)m_2(\eta) \in BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(s_1(\cdot), s_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot)).$$

Proof. For any f and g with $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{B}_{m_1 m m_2}(f,g)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)m_1(\xi)m(\xi,\eta)m_2(\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle\xi+\eta,x\rangle}d\xi d\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((\mathrm{T}_{m_1}f))^\wedge(\xi)((\mathrm{T}_{m_2}g))^\wedge(\eta)m(\xi,\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle\xi+\eta,x\rangle}d\xi d\eta \\
&= \mathrm{B}_m(\mathrm{T}_{m_1}f, \mathrm{T}_{m_2}g)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{B}_{m_1 m m_2}(f,g)\|_{p_3(\cdot)} &\le \|\mathrm{B}_m\|\|\mathrm{T}_{m_1}f\|_{p_1(\cdot)}\|\mathrm{T}_{m_2}g\|_{p_2(\cdot)} \\
&\le \|\mathrm{B}_m\|\|m_1\|_{s_1(\cdot),p_1(\cdot)}\|m_2\|_{s_2(\cdot),p_2(\cdot)}\|f\|_{s_1(\cdot)}\|g\|_{s_2(\cdot)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get the result. □

The following is an explicit example.

Example 2.5 *Suppose that* $\frac{1}{p_1(\cdot)}+\frac{1}{p_2(\cdot)}=\frac{1}{p_3(\cdot)}$, $m_1 \in BM(\mathbb{R}^n)(p_1(\cdot),p_1(\cdot))$ *and* $m_2 \in BM(\mathbb{R}^n)(p_2(\cdot),p_2(\cdot))$, *where* $p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $p_3(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Then*

$$m(\xi,\eta)=m_1(\xi)m_2(\eta) \in BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)).$$

Proof. For any f and g with $\hat{f},\hat{g} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{B}_1(f,g)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta,x\rangle}d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi,x\rangle}\hat{g}(\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle \eta,x\rangle}d\xi d\eta \\ &= f(x)g(x).\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality([9]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{B}_1(f,g)(x)\|_{p_3(\cdot)} &= \|f(x)g(x)\|_{p_3(\cdot)} \\ &\le C\|f\|_{p_1(\cdot)}\|g\|_{p_2(\cdot)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $1 \in BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot))$. By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$m(\xi,\eta)=m_1(\xi)m_2(\eta) \in BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We only consider the case $n=1$. Other cases can be proved similarly. Suppose that $Q=[a,b]\times[c,d]$. Then for any f and g with $\hat{f},\hat{g} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{B}_{m\chi_Q}(f,g)(x) \\ &\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)m(\xi,\eta)\chi_Q(\xi,\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta,x\rangle}d\xi d\eta \\ &\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi)\chi_{[a,b]}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)\chi_{[c,d]}(\eta)m(\xi,\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta,x\rangle}d\xi d\eta \\ &\quad= \mathrm{B}_m((\hat{f}\chi_{[a,b]})^\vee,(\hat{g}\chi_{[c,d]})^\vee)(x).\end{aligned}$$

Note that$(\hat{f}\chi_{[a,b]})^\vee=\frac{i}{2}(\mathrm{M}^a\mathrm{H}\mathrm{M}^{-a}-\mathrm{M}^b\mathrm{H}\mathrm{M}^{-b})f$, where M^a denotes the operator $\mathrm{M}^af(x)=e^{2\pi iax}f(x)$ and H denotes the Hilbert transform operator. Since the Hilbert transform has a standard kernel, by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\hat{f}\chi_{[a,b]})^\vee\|_{p_1(\cdot)} &= \frac{1}{2}\|(\mathrm{M}^a\mathrm{H}\mathrm{M}^{-a}f-\mathrm{M}^b\mathrm{H}\mathrm{M}^{-b}f)\|_{p_1(\cdot)} \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\|\mathrm{H}\mathrm{M}^{-a}f\|_{p_1(\cdot)}+\frac{1}{2}\|\mathrm{H}\mathrm{M}^{-b}f\|_{p_1(\cdot)} \\ &\le C\|f\|_{p_1(\cdot)}.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\chi_{[a,b]} \in BM(\mathbb{R}^n)(p_1(\cdot),p_1(\cdot)).$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$\chi_{[c,d]} \in BM(\mathbb{R}^n)(p_2(\cdot),p_2(\cdot)).$$

Hence by Theorem 2.4, we get

$$m\chi_Q \in BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot)),$$

and $\|m\chi_Q\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)} \le C\|m\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)}$.

□

Next we show that the space $B\tilde{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$ is invariant under certain operators.

Theorem 2.6 *Given $p_3(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if*

$$M \in B\tilde{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot)),$$

then

$$\phi * M \in B\tilde{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot)),$$

and $\|\phi * M\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)} \le C\|\phi\|_1\|M\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)}$.

Proof. For any f and g with $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{B}_{\phi*M}(f,g)(x)\\
&\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(\xi-\eta-u)\phi(u)du\Big)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta, x\rangle}d\xi d\eta\\
&\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \widehat{\mathrm{M}^{-u}f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)M(\xi-\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta, x\rangle}d\xi d\eta e^{2\pi i\langle u,x\rangle}\phi(u)du.
\end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{B}_{\phi*M}(f,g)(x)\|_{p_3(\cdot)} &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathrm{B}_M(\mathrm{M}^{-u}f, g)(x)\|_{p_3(\cdot)}|\phi(u)|du\\
&\le C\|M\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)}\|\phi\|_1\|f\|_{p_1(\cdot)}\|g\|_{p_2(\cdot)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.7 *Suppose that $p_3 \ge 1$, $M \in B\tilde{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3)$ and $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$m(\xi,\eta) := M(\xi-\eta)\hat{\phi}(\xi+\eta) \in BM(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3),$$

and $\|m\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3} \le \|\phi\|_1\|M\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3}$.

Proof. For any f and g with $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{B}_m(f,g)(x)\\
&\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)M(\xi-\eta)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\phi(y)e^{-2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta, y\rangle}dy\Big)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta, x\rangle}d\xi d\eta\\
&\quad= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\eta)M(\xi-\eta)e^{2\pi i\langle \xi+\eta, x-y\rangle}d\xi d\eta\Big)\phi(y)dy\\
&\quad= \phi * \mathrm{B}_M(f,g)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{B}_m(f,g)\|_{p_3} &\leq \|\phi\|_1\|\mathrm{B}_M(f,g)\|_{p_3}\\ &= \|\phi\|_1\|\mathrm{B}_M\|\|f\|_{p_1(\cdot)}\|g\|_{p_2(\cdot)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the conclusion. □

Finally, we consider the necessary condition of this kind of multipliers. The bilinear classical counterpart was obtained by Hörmander[18, Theorem 3.1] and Blaso[4]. The multilinear classical one was proved by Grafakos and Torres, see [17, Proposition 5] and [16, Proposition 2.1]. And the one for multipliers on Lorentz spaces was given by Villarroya[31, Proposition 3.1]. Some of their proofs used the translation-invariant property of the classical spaces, which is, however, no longer valid on $L^{p(\cdot)}$. In the following, we prove the variable version of the necessary condition.

Theorem 2.8 (necessary condition) *Let $|\Omega_\infty^i| = 0$, where Ω_∞^i denote the set of points on which $p_i(x) = \infty$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Suppose that there is a non-zero continuous integrable function M such that $M \in B\tilde{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{(p_3)_+} \leq \frac{1}{(p_1)_-} + \frac{1}{(p_2)_-}.$$

To prove the theorem, we need the following results.

Proposition 2.9 ([9, Corollary 2.22]) *Fix Ω and $1 \leq p(\cdot) \leq \infty$. If $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1$, then $\rho(f) \leq \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}$; if $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} > 1$, then $\rho(f) \geq \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}$.*

Proposition 2.10 ([9, Corollary 2.23]) *Given Ω and $1 \leq p(\cdot) \leq \infty$, suppose $|\Omega_\infty| = 0$. If $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} > 1$, then*

$$\rho(f)^{1/p_+} \leq \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \rho(f)^{1/p_-}.$$

If $0 < \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1$, then

$$\rho(f)^{1/p_-} \leq \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \rho(f)^{1/p_+}.$$

Lemma 2.11 *Let $M \in B\tilde{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$. If $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{(p_1)_-} + \frac{1}{(p_2)_-} - \frac{1}{(p_3)_+}$ and $|\Omega_\infty^i| = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, then there exist some $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda^2\xi^2} M(\xi)d\xi\right| \leq C\|M\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)}\lambda^{\frac{n}{q}},$$

when λ is sufficiently large.

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$. Define G_λ by $\hat{G}_\lambda(\xi) = e^{-2\lambda^2\xi^2}$. By a simple change of variable, one gets that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{B}_M(G_\lambda, G_\lambda)(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\lambda^2\nu^2}e^{-\lambda^2\mu^2}M(\nu)e^{2\pi i\mu x}d\mu d\nu\\ &= \frac{C}{\lambda^n}e^{-\pi^2|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda^2\nu^2}M(\nu)d\nu, \qquad (2.1)\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $G_\lambda(x) = (e^{-2\lambda^2\xi^2})^\vee = \frac{C}{\lambda^n} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2}$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{p_i(\cdot)}(e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2 p_i(x)} dx \\
&= \lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|u|^2 p_i(\lambda u)} du \\
&\leq \lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|u|^2 (p_i)_-} du \\
&= C_{(p_i)_-} \lambda^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, 2$.

Similarly we have

$$\rho_{p_i(\cdot)}(e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2}) \geq C_{(p_i)_+} \lambda^n, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By Proposition 2.9, we get $\|e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2}\|_{p_i(\cdot)} > 1$, when λ is sufficiently large. Thus by Proposition 2.10, we have

$$\rho_{p_i(\cdot)}(e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2})^{\frac{1}{(p_i)_+}} \leq \|e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2}\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \leq \rho_{p_i(\cdot)}(e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2})^{\frac{1}{(p_i)_-}}.$$

So

$$C_{(p_i)_+} \lambda^{n/(p_i)_+ - n} \leq \|G_\lambda\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \leq C_{(p_i)_-} \lambda^{n/(p_i)_- - n}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $i = 1, 2$.

Similarly we can get

$$C_{(p_3)_+} \lambda^{n/(p_3)_+ - n} \leq \|\frac{1}{\lambda^n} e^{-\pi^2|\frac{x}{\lambda}|^2}\|_{p_3(\cdot)} \leq C_{(p_3)_-} \lambda^{n/(p_3)_- - n}. \tag{2.3}$$

All the inequalities above are established when the λ is sufficiently large.

By the assumption, we have

$$\|\mathrm{B}_M(G_\lambda, G_\lambda)\|_{p_3(\cdot)} \leq \|M\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)} \|G_\lambda\|_{p_1(\cdot)} \|G_\lambda\|_{p_2(\cdot)}. \tag{2.4}$$

Now combining (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{(p_3)_+} \lambda^{\frac{n}{(p_3)_+} - n} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda^2\xi^2} M(\xi) d\xi \Big| &\leq \|\mathrm{B}_M(G_\lambda, G_\lambda)\|_{p_3(\cdot)} \\
&\leq C\|M\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)} \lambda^{\frac{n}{(p_1)_-} - n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{(p_2)_-} - n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Big|\lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda^2\xi^2} M(\xi) d\xi\Big| \leq C\|M\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)} \lambda^{\frac{n}{q}},$$

when λ is sufficiently large. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.8.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Assume that $\frac{1}{(p_1)_-} + \frac{1}{(p_2)_-} < \frac{1}{(p_3)_+}$. By a simple calculation, we obtain that

$$\mathrm{B}_M(\mathrm{M}^y f, \mathrm{M}^{-y} g) = \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}_{-2y} M}(f, g),$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{-2y}M = M(x+2y)$. Thus $\mathrm{T}_{-2y}M \in B\tilde{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})(p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), p_3(\cdot))$. Applying Lemma 2.11 to $\mathrm{T}_{-2y}M$, we get

$$\left|\lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda^2\xi^2} M(\xi+2y)d\xi\right| \leq C\|M\|_{p_1(\cdot),p_2(\cdot),p_3(\cdot)}\lambda^{\frac{n}{q}}.$$

Observe that $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{(p_1)_-} + \frac{1}{(p_2)_-} - \frac{1}{(p_3)_+} < 0$ and M is continuous. By letting $\lambda \to \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\left|\lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\lambda^2\xi^2} M(\xi+2y)d\xi\right| = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}|M(2y)| = 0.$$

Since y is arbitrary, we have $M = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus

$$\frac{1}{(p_3)_+} \leq \frac{1}{(p_1)_-} + \frac{1}{(p_2)_-}.$$

□

3 The Mihlin-Hömander type estimate for multilinear multipliers on weighted variable exponent lebesgue spaces

Roughly speaking, in the linear case, by adding the condition that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is bounded on weighted variable spaces, the results of multipliers on weighted variable spaces can be derived from the weighted multiplier theorem on classical Lebesgue spaces and the Extrapolation theorem on weighted variable spaces. See, for example, [20, Theorem 4.5, Theorem 4.7], [22], and [23].

However, in the multilinear case, the method faces some challenges. One problem is that we have no multilinear Extrapolation theorem on spaces with variable exponents yet, though the counterpart on classical Lebesgue spaces appeared early, see [14].

We give another way to get the Mihlin-Hörmander conditions for multilinear Fourier multipliers on weighted variable spaces.

Recall that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) = \sup_{Q\ni x}\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|dy.$$

And the sharp maximal function is defined by

$$\mathrm{M}^{\#}(f)(x) = \sup_{Q\ni x}\inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)-c|dy.$$

For $\delta > 0$, we also define

$$\mathrm{M}_\delta(f) = \mathcal{M}(|f|^\delta)^{1/\delta} \quad and \quad \mathrm{M}_\delta^{\#}(f) = \mathrm{M}^{\#}(|f|^\delta)^{1/\delta}.$$

For $\vec{f} = (f_1, \cdots, f_N)$ and $p \geq 1$, we define

$$\mathcal{M}_p(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q\ni x}\prod_{i=1}^N \Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f_i(y_i)|^p dy_i\Big)^{1/p}.$$

Definition 3.1 ([26]) *Given $\vec{P}=(p_1,\cdots,p_N)$ with $1\le p_1,\cdots,p_N<\infty$ and $1/p_1+\cdots+1/p_N=1/p$. Let $\vec{w}=(w_1,\cdots,w_N)$. Set*

$$v_{\vec{w}}=\prod_{i=1}^{N}w_i^{p/p_i}.$$

We say that $\vec{w}$ satisfies the $A_{\vec{P}}$ condition if

$$\sup_{Q}\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q v_{\vec{w}}\Big)^{1/p}\prod_{i=1}^{N}\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w_i^{1-p_i'}\Big)^{1/p_i'}<\infty.$$

When $p_i=1$, then $\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w_i^{1-p_i'}\Big)^{1/p_i'}$ is understood as $(\inf_Q w_i)^{-1}$.

We now give a Mihlin-Hörmander type theorem for multilinear Fourier multipliers on weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces.

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that $Nn/2<s\le Nn$, $m\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{Nn})$ and*

$$\sup_{R>0}\|m(R\xi)\chi_{\{1<|\xi|<2\}}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^{Nn})}<\infty.$$

Set $r_0:=Nn/s$, a series of variable indexes $p_1(x),\cdots,p_N(x)\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $p(x)\in\mathcal{P}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that $\frac{1}{p_1(x)}+\frac{1}{p_2(x)}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p_N(x)}=\frac{1}{p(x)}$, where $(p_j)_->r_0, j=1,2,\cdots,N$. Suppose there are $0<q<p_-$, $r_0<q_j<(p_j)_-$ such that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on $L^{\tilde{p}'(\cdot)}((w_1\cdots w_N)^{-q\tilde{p}'(\cdot)})$ and $L^{\tilde{p_j}'(\cdot)}(w_j{}^{-q_j\tilde{p_j}'(\cdot)})$, where $\tilde{p}(x)=\frac{p(x)}{q}$, $\tilde{p_j}(x)=\frac{p_j(x)}{q_j}$, $j=1,2,\cdots,N$. Then there exist some $C>0$ such that

$$\|\mathrm{T}_m(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(w_1^{p(\cdot)}\cdots w_N^{p(\cdot)})}\le C\prod_{i=1}^{N}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i(\cdot)}(w_i^{p_i(\cdot)})}.$$

Before proving the theorem, we present some preliminary results. The following inequality is a classical result of Fefferman and Stein [11].

Proposition 3.3 ([11]) *Let $0<\delta<p<\infty$ and $w\in A_\infty$. Then there exist some constants $C_{n,p,\delta,w}>0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathrm{M}_\delta f)(x)^p w(x)dx\le C_{n,p,\delta,w}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathrm{M}_\delta^{\#}f)(x)^p w(x)dx.$$

The next result comes from Lemma 2.6 in [27]. For our purpose we restate it in the proper way.

Proposition 3.4 ([27]) *Let $1<r<min\{\frac{s}{(s-1)},\frac{2s}{Nn}\}$ such that $p_0:=rr_0<q_j$, $j=1,\cdots,N$. If $0<\delta<p_0/N$, then under the assumption of Theorem 3.2, there exist some $C>0$ such that for all $\vec{f}\in L^{t_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\cdots\times L^{t_N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p_0\le t_1,\cdots,t_N<\infty$, we have*

$$\mathrm{M}_\delta^{\#}(\mathrm{T}_m\vec{f})\le C\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f}).$$

Proposition 3.5 ([20]) *Let X be a metric measure space and Ω an open set in X. Assume that for some p_0 and q_0, satisfying:*

$$0 < p_0 \le q_0 < \infty, p_0 < p_-, and \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_+} < \frac{1}{q_0},$$

and for every weight $w \in A_1(\Omega)$, there holds the inequality

$$\Big(\int_\Omega f^{q_0}(x)w(x)d\mu(x)\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \le c_0\Big(\int_\Omega g^{p_0}(x)[w(x)]^{\frac{p_0}{q_0}}d\mu(x)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}},$$

for all (f,g) in a given family $\mathscr{F}$. Let the variable exponent $q(x)$ be defined by

$$\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{p(x)} - (\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{q_0}).$$

Let the exponent $p(x)$ and the weight ϱ satisfy that $p \in \mathcal{P}^0(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on $L^{\tilde{q}'(\cdot)}(\Omega, \varrho^{-q_0\tilde{q}'(\cdot)})$.

Then for all $(f,g) \in \mathscr{F}$ with $f \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega, \varrho^{q(\cdot)})$, the inequaltiy

$$\|f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega,\varrho^{q(\cdot)})} \le \|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega,\varrho^{p(\cdot)})}$$

is valid with a constant $C > 0$.

Remark 3.6 *Note that the condition $p \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ in the Extrapolation theorem of [20] can be released to $p \in \mathcal{P}^0(\Omega)$ with nearly no modification to the proof.*

Proposition 3.7 ([5, Proposition 2.3]) *Let $p_0 \ge 1$ and $p_i > p_0$ for $i = 1, \cdots, N$ and $1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_N = 1/p$. Then the inequality*

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})} \le C\prod_{i=1}^N \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}$$

holds if and only if $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}/p_0}$, where $\vec{P}/p_0 = (p_1/p_0, \cdots, p_N/p_0)$.

Remark 3.8 *When $N = 1$, the conclusion above is valid. Specifically, let $p_0 \ge 1$ and $p > p_0$, then $\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}f\|_{L^p(w)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(w)}$ holds if and only if $w \in A_{p/p_0}$.*

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.2

Proof of Theorem 3.2. For any f_j with $\hat{f}_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $j = 1, \cdots, N$ and $v \in A_\infty$, by Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{T}_m(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(v)} &\le \|\mathrm{M}_\delta(\mathrm{T}_m(\vec{f}))\|_{L^q(v)} \\ &\le C_{n,q,\delta,v}\|\mathrm{M}_\delta^\#(\mathrm{T}_m(\vec{f}))\|_{L^q(v)} \\ &\le C\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(v)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where p_0 is defined as in Proposition 3.4.

Since the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on $L^{\tilde{p}'(\cdot)}((w_1\cdots w_N)^{-q\tilde{p}'(\cdot)})$, by Proposition 3.5, we have

$$\|\mathrm{T}_m(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(w_1^{p(\cdot)}\cdots w_N^{p(\cdot)})} \le C\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(w_1^{p(\cdot)}\cdots w_N^{p(\cdot)})}. \tag{3.2}$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(w_1^{p(\cdot)}\cdots w_N^{p(\cdot)})} =&\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})w_1\cdots w_N\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}\\ \le&\|\prod_{i=1}^{N}\{\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(f_i)w_i\}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}\\ \le&C\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(f_1)w_1\|_{L^{p_1(\cdot)}}\cdots\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(f_N)w_N\|_{L^{p_N(\cdot)}},\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(f_i) := \sup_{Q\ni x}\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f_i(y_i)|^{p_0}dy_i\Big)^{\frac{1}{p_0}}, \quad i=1,\cdots,N.$$

Since $p_0 < q_j$, we can choose $u_j > 1$ such that $p_0u_j = q_j$. Thus by Proposition 3.7, we get

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(f)\|_{L^{q_j}(w)} \le C\|f\|_{L^{q_j}(w)}$$

is valid for all $w \in A_{u_j}$, $f \in L^{q_j}(w)$. Using the boundedness of $\mathcal{M}$ again, we see from Proposition 3.5 that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(f_j)\|_{L^{p_j(\cdot)}(w_j^{p_j(\cdot)})} \le C\|f_j\|_{L^{p_j(\cdot)}(w_j^{p_j(\cdot)})}, j=1,\cdots,N.$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{p_0}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(w_1^{p(\cdot)}\cdots w_N^{p(\cdot)})} \le C\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1(\cdot)}(w_1^{p_1(\cdot)})}\cdots\|f_N\|_{L^{p_N(\cdot)}(w_N^{p_N(\cdot)})}.$$

By (3.2), we obtain the desired conclusion,

$$\|\mathrm{T}_m(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(w_1^{p(\cdot)}\cdots w_N^{p(\cdot)})} \le C\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1(\cdot)}(w_1^{p_1(\cdot)})}\cdots\|f_N\|_{L^{p_N(\cdot)}(w_N^{p_N(\cdot)})}.$$

□

As an application of Theorem 3.2, we now consider the case when weight functions are defined by

$$w_j(x) = [1+|x-x_0|]^{\beta_\infty^j}\prod_{k=1}^{l}|x-x_k|^{\beta_k^j}, j=1,\cdots,N, \tag{3.4}$$

where x_k are fixed points in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $k=1,\cdots,l$.

Corollary 3.9 *Suppose that $Nn/2 < s \le Nn$, $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{Nn})$ and*

$$\sup_{R>0}\|m(R\xi)\chi_{\{1<|\xi|<2\}}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^{Nn})} < \infty.$$

Let the variable exponents $p_1(x),\cdots,p_N(x)$ and $p(x)$ satisfy that $\frac{1}{p_1(x)}+\frac{1}{p_2(x)}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p_N(x)} = \frac{1}{p(x)}$, where $1 < p_- \le p_+ < \infty$, $r_0 := Nn/s < (p_j)_- \le (p_j)_+ < \infty$, and $p_j \in LH_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Suppose that there exist some $R > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $p_j(x) \equiv (p_j)_\infty = const$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, R)$, $j = 1, \cdots, N$, and that

$$-\frac{n}{p_j(x_k)} < \beta_k^j < min\{\frac{n}{p_j'(x_k)}, \frac{n}{Np'(x_k)}\}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, l,$$

$$-\frac{n}{(p_j)_\infty} < \beta_\infty^j + \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_k^j < min\{\frac{n}{(p_j)_\infty'}, \frac{n}{Np_\infty'}\},$$

for $j = 1, \cdots, N$. Then T_m is bounded from $L^{p_1(\cdot)}(w_1^{p_1(\cdot)}) \times \cdots \times L^{p_N(\cdot)}(w_N^{p_N(\cdot)})$ to $L^{p(\cdot)}(w_1^{p(\cdot)} \cdots w_N^{p(\cdot)})$.

To prove Corollary 3.9, we need to define a class of weight functions, which is a special case of [20, Definition 2.7].

Definition 3.10 ([20]) *Let $p(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists $R > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $p(x) \equiv p_\infty = const$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, R)$. A weight function w of the form*

$$w = [1 + |x - x_0|]^{\beta_\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{l} |x - x_k|^{\beta_k}$$

is said to belong to the class $V_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Pi)$, if

$$-\frac{n}{p(x_k)} < \beta_k < \frac{n}{p'(x_k)}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, l,$$

and

$$-\frac{n}{p_\infty} < \beta_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_k < \frac{n}{p_\infty'}.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11 *Let $p(\cdot) \in LH_0$ satisfy $1 < p_- \le p_+ < \infty$. Suppose that there exist some $R > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $p(x) \equiv p_\infty = const$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, R)$. If $\varrho \in V_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Pi)$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on the space $L^{(\tilde{p})'(\cdot)}(\varrho^{-q_0(\tilde{p})'(\cdot)})$ for all $q_0 \in (1, p_-)$, where $\tilde{p}(\cdot) = \frac{p(\cdot)}{q_0}$.*

Proof. If $p(\cdot) \in LH_0$, then $\tilde{p}(\cdot) \in LH_0$. By [9, Proposition 2.3], we have $(\tilde{p})'(\cdot) \in LH_0$. And since $\varrho \in V_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Pi)$, by [20, Remark 2.10], we know $\varrho^{-q_0} \in V_{(\tilde{p})'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Pi)$. Then it follows from [20, Theorem 2.12] that $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on $L^{(\tilde{p})'(\cdot)}(\varrho^{-q_0(\tilde{p})'(\cdot)})$. □

Now we are ready to prove Corollary 3.9.

Proof of Corollary 3.9. Fix some $1 < q < p_-$. Let q_j, $\tilde{p}(x)$ and $\tilde{p_j}(x)$ be defined as in Theorem 3.2. By the assumption, we have

$$-\frac{n}{p_j(x_k)} < \beta_k^j < \frac{n}{p_j'(x_k)}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, l,$$

$$-\frac{n}{(p_j)_\infty} < \beta_\infty^j + \sum_{k=1}^{l} \beta_k^j < \frac{n}{(p_j)_\infty'}.$$

So $w_j \in V_{p_j(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Pi)$. By Lemma 3.11, $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on $L^{(\tilde{p_j})'(\cdot)}(w_j^{-q_j(\tilde{p_j})'(\cdot)})$. Again, by the assumption, we get

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{n}{p_j(x_k)} < \sum_{j=1}^{N}\beta_k^j < \frac{n}{p'(x_k)}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, l, \tag{3.5}$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{n}{(p_j)_\infty} < \sum_{j=1}^{N}\beta_\infty^j + \sum_{k=1}^{l}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\beta_k^j < \frac{n}{p'_\infty}. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that the left side of (3.5) and (3.6) are equal to $-\frac{n}{p(x_k)}$ and $-\frac{n}{p_\infty}$, respectively. So $w_1 \cdots w_N \in V_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \Pi)$.

By of [9, Proposition 2.3], we know $\frac{1}{p_j(\cdot)} \in LH_0$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} = \frac{1}{p_1(\cdot)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_N(\cdot)} \in LH_0$. Thus $p(\cdot) \in LH_0$. Now by Lemma 3.11, $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded on $L^{(\tilde{p})'(\cdot)}((w_1 \cdots w_N)^{-q(\tilde{p})'(\cdot)})$. By Theorem 3.2, there exist some $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathrm{T}_m(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(w_1^{p(\cdot)}\cdots w_N^{p(\cdot)})} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{N}\|f_j\|_{L^{p_j(\cdot)}(w_j^{p_j(\cdot)})}.$$

□

References

[1] P. Auscher and M. J. Carro. On relations between operators on $\mathbf{R}^N$, $\mathbf{T}^N$ and $\mathbf{Z}^N$. *Studia Math.*, 101(2):165–182, 1992.

[2] O. Blasco. Bilinear multipliers and transference. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (4):545–554, 2005.

[3] O. Blasco. Notes in transference of bilinear multipliers. In *Advanced courses of mathematical analysis III*, pages 28–38. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.

[4] O. Blasco. Notes on the spaces of bilinear multipliers. *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina*, 50(2):23–37, 2009.

[5] T. A. Bui and X. T. Duong. Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear operators and applications to multilinear Fourier multipliers. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 137(1):63–75, 2013.

[6] J. Chen and G. Lu. Hörmander type theorems for multi-linear and multi-parameter Fourier multiplier operators with limited smoothness. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 101:98–112, 2014.

[7] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer. *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, volume 57 of *Astérisque*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1978. With an English summary.

[8] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer. Fourier analysis of multilinear convolutions, Calderón's theorem, and analysis of Lipschitz curves. In *Euclidean harmonic analysis (Proc. Sem., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1979)*, volume 779 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 104–122. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[9] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza. *Variable Lebesgue spaces*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. Foundations and harmonic analysis.

[10] D. Fan and S. Sato. Transference on certain multilinear multiplier operators. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 70(1):37–55, 2001.

[11] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein. H^p spaces of several variables. *Acta Math.*, 129(3-4):137–193, 1972.

[12] M. Fujita and N. Tomita. Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear Fourier multipliers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(12):6335–6353, 2012.

[13] L. Grafakos, L. Liu, D. Maldonado, and D. Yang. Multilinear analysis on metric spaces. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)*, 497:121, 2014.

[14] L. Grafakos and J. M. Martell. Extrapolation of weighted norm inequalities for multivariable operators and applications. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 14(1):19–46, 2004.

[15] L. Grafakos and Z. Si. the hormander type multiplier theorem for multilinear operators. *Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 668:133–147, 2012.

[16] L. Grafakos and J. Soria. Translation-invariant bilinear operators with positive kernels. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 66(2):253–264, 2010.

[17] L. Grafakos and R. H. Torres. Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory. *Adv. Math.*, 165(1):124–164, 2002.

[18] L. Hörmander. Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces. *Acta Math.*, 104:93–140, 1960.

[19] A. Huang and J. Xu. Multilinear singular integrals and commutators in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. *Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B*, 25(1):69–77, 2010.

[20] V. M. Kokilashvili and S. G. Samko. Operators of harmonic analysis in weighted spaces with non-standard growth. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 352(1):15–34, 2009.

[21] Ö. Kulak and A. T. Gürkanlı. Bilinear multipliers of weighted Lebesgue spaces and variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, pages 2013:259, 21, 2013.

[22] D. S. Kurtz. Littlewood-Paley and multiplier theorems on weighted L^p spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 259(1):235–254, 1980.

[23] D. S. Kurtz and R. L. Wheeden. Results on weighted norm inequalities for multipliers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 255:343–362, 1979.

[24] M. Lacey and C. Thiele. L^p estimates on the bilinear Hilbert transform for $2 < p < \infty$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 146(3):693–724, 1997.

[25] M. Lacey and C. Thiele. On Calderón's conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(2):475–496, 1999.

[26] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres, and R. Trujillo-González. New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory. *Adv. Math.*, 220(4):1222–1264, 2009.

[27] K. Li and W. Sun. Weighted estimates for multilinear Fourier multipliers. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2012.

[28] C. Muscalu, T. Tao, and C. Thiele. Multi-linear operators given by singular multipliers. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(2):469–496, 2002.

[29] X. Tao, X. Yu, and H. Zhang. Multilinear Calderón Zygmund operators on variable exponent Morrey spaces over domains. *Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B*, 26(2):187–197, 2011.

[30] N. Tomita. A Hörmander type multiplier theorem for multilinear operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259(8):2028–2044, 2010.

[31] F. Villarroya. Bilinear multipliers on Lorentz spaces. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 58(133)(4):1045–1057, 2008.